arXiv:1703.08775v1 [math.CA] 26 Mar 2017

SPARSE BOUNDS FOR DISCRETE QUADRATIC PHASE HILBERT TRANSFORM

ROBERT KESLER AND DARÍO MENA ARIAS

Abstract. Consider the discrete quadratic phase Hilbert Transform acting on ℓ^2 finitely supported functions

$$H^\alpha f(n) := \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i \alpha m^2} f(n-m)}{m}.$$

We prove that, uniformly in $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a sparse bound for the bilinear form $\langle H^\alpha f, g\rangle$. The sparse bound implies several mapping properties such as weighted inequalities in an intersection of Muckenhoupt and reverse Hölder classes.

1. Introduction

Let $e(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. We consider the operator H^α acting on finitely supported functions f on $\mathbb{Z}$, defined by

$$H^\alpha f(n) := \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{e(\alpha m^2) f(n-m)}{m}.$$

This can be regarded as a discrete oscillatory Hilbert transform with a quadratic phase. As such it satisfies a range of ℓ^p estimates which are uniform in α. In particular, the result below holds. Indeed, the work of Arkhipov and Oskolkov [1] in the case of $p = 2$, and of Pierce [21] in the case of $1 < p < \infty$, prove much more than the result below.

Theorem 1.A. *For $1 < p < \infty$, there holds*

$$\sup_\alpha \|H^\alpha : \ell^p \to \ell^p\| < \infty.$$

In this paper we give a further quantification of the uniform boundedness of H^α, by proving a sparse bound. We set notation for the sparse bound. Let a discrete interval (or just an interval) be a set of the form $I = \mathbb{Z} \cap [a, b]$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and define its length $|I|$ as its cardinality. For $1 \leq r < \infty$, the L^r-average of a function f on the interval I is defined by

$$\langle f\rangle_{I,r} := \left[\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{x\in I} |f(x)|^r\right]^{1/r}.$$

A collection of intervals $\mathcal{S}$ is called ρ-sparse if for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, there is a subset E_S of S such that (a) $|E_S| > \rho|S|$, and (b) $\| \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{1}_{E_S}\|_\infty \leq \rho^{-1}$. For a sparse collection $\mathcal{S}$, a sparse bilinear form Λ is defined by

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{S},r,s}(f, g) := \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} \langle f\rangle_{S,r} \langle g\rangle_{S,r} |S|$$

When $r = s$, we write $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S},r,s} = \Lambda_{\mathcal{S},r}$. The dependence on ρ is not relevant, so it can be omitted. We also omit sometimes the dependence on the sparse collection $\mathcal{S}$ and just write $\Lambda_{r,s}$ or Λ_r.

To simplify some of the arguments, we make use of the following definition: For an operator T acting on finitely supported functions on $\mathbb{Z}$, and $1 \le r, s < \infty$ define its sparse norm

$$\|T : \mathrm{Sparse}(r,s)\| = \|T : (r,s)\|, \tag{1.1}$$

as the infimum over the constants $C > 0$ such that for all finitely supported functions f, g on $\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$|\langle Tf, g\rangle| \le C \sup \Lambda_{r,s}(f,g).$$

Here, the supremum is taken over all sparse forms.

With this notation, we can state the main result of this paper as follows,

Theorem 1.2. *There exists $1 < r < 2$ such that*

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{T}} \|H^\alpha : (r,r)\| < \infty.$$

Given the useful structure of the sparse forms, we can derive a variety of mapping properties. For instance, we obtain the following immediate result

Corollary 1.3. *There exists $1 < r < 2$ such that for all weights w that satisfy $w, w^{-1} \in A_2 \cap RH_r$ we have*

$$\|H^\alpha : \ell^2(w) \mapsto \ell^2(w)\| \lesssim 1.$$

The weights above are in the intersection of the the standard Muckenhoupt class A_2 and some Reverse Hölder class RH_r. Here and through all the paper, the notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a constant C such that $A \le CB$; the dependence of the constant will be indicated when necessary.

The domination by sparse operators has been an active topic initiated by Lerner [17] in his simple proof of the A_2 conjecture, by providing sparse control over the norm of a Calderón-Zygmund operator. This was improved to a pointwise estimate in [6] and following a stopping time argument in [14]. The latter approach has been used in different contexts [3, 10, 18]. The sparse bilinear form approach that we use here, has proven to be successful where the pointwise approach is not convenient or to avoid the use of maximal truncations, for example, the bilinear Hilbert transform [7], Bochner-Riesz multipliers [2] and oscillatory singular integrals [13, 16].

The study of oscillatory singular integrals is motivated by the work of Stein, who in [23] proves the boundedness on L^p, for $1 < p < \infty$, of the following operator,

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) \frac{e(\alpha y^2)}{y}\, dy \right|. \tag{1.4}$$

In the setting of discrete norm inequalities it is important to mention the remarkable work of Bourgain on ergodic theorems regarding polynomial averages [4, 5]. More recent results include the work of Krause [11] which have been extended in different directions by Mirek, Stein and Trojan [19, 20]. A first result in which similar discrete operator can be controlled by sparse forms can be found in [8], and in the case of random discrete operators in [13, 16], where the sparse bound follows from simpler arguments.

Our main result, and the proof, is a model case for a wider range of results in the discrete setting. Some of the many possible extensions to the main result of this paper are as follows.

(1) Extend the result to a general polynomial and kernel. That is, given a polynomial P and a Calderón-Zygmund Kernel K, find sparse bounds for the operator

$$T_P f(n) = \sum_{m\neq 0} e(P(m))K(m)f(n-m),$$

that only depend on the degree of P and the kernel. More ambitious claims suggest themselves, such as obtaining sparse bounds for discrete Radon transforms, even in the quasi-translation invariant setting. See [21, 22].

(2) Sparse version of Krause and Lacey's result [12], that is, find sparse bounds for the following restricted maximal operator, for A satisfying a certain Minkowski dimension condition,

$$\sup_{\alpha\in A} |H^{\alpha} f(n)| = \sup_{\alpha\in A} \left| \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{e(\alpha m^2) f(n-m)}{m} \right|.$$

(3) Sparse control over the maximal truncations of the operators above. This would entail extra difficulties.

The paper is organized as follows: In §2, we provide some preliminary results regarding sparse forms and specific operators bounded by them, that are key to our proof. In §3, following techniques from the Hardy-Littlewood circle method, we give a decomposition for the Fourier multiplier of the operator into major and minor arc components, and obtain some estimates for the different parts. We prove the sparse bounds for the minor and major arcs in §4 and §5 respectively to conclude the main theorem. Of particular interest is the method to bound the major arcs, as it depends upon the sparse bound in Theorem 2.C.

2. Preliminaries

One useful fact about sparse operators is that, in some sense, they admit an universal domination. A version of the following lemma can be found in [15] and has a similar proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Given finitely supported functions f, g and $1 \leq r, s < \infty$, there is a sparse form $\Lambda^*_{r,s}$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for any other sparse operator $\Lambda_{r,s}$ we have*

$$\Lambda_{r,s}(f,g) \leq C\Lambda^*_{r,s}(f,g).$$

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{HL}} f(n) := \sup_{N\geq 0} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} |f(n-j)|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.2}$$

A well known result is the following.

Theorem 2.B. *The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function satisfies $(1,1)$ sparse bounds. That is,*

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{HL}} : \mathrm{Sparse}(1,1)\| \lesssim 1.$$

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, we extend the definition of sparse forms to vector valued functions f, by setting $\langle f \rangle_I = |I|^{-1} \sum_{x \in I} \|f(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. It is then straightforward to extend some sparse domination results to Hilbert space valued functions. One of this results, in the continuous setting of oscillatory singular integrals, is the following theorem, that is going to be an important part of our proof.

Theorem 2.C. [16] *Let K be a Calderón-Zygmund kernel and P a polynomial of degree d on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define the operator*

$$T_P f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e(P(y)) K(y) f(x-y)\, dy.$$

For each $1 < r < 2$ and compactly supported, Hilbert space valued functions f, g, there is a constant $C = C(K, d, n, r)$ and a bilinear sparse form Λ_r such that

$$\|T_P f : \mathrm{Sparse}(r, r)\| \leq C.$$

Recall that a Calderón-Zygmung kernel $K : \mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\} \to \mathcal{C}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{y \neq 0} |yK(y)| + \left| y^2 \tfrac{d}{dy} K(y) \right| < \infty,$$

and the corresponding convolution operator is $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$-bounded. In particular, we are going to apply this result with the Hilbert Transform kernel $K(y) = 1/y$. It is important to note that the previous estimate depends on the polynomial only through its degree.

In the subsequent sections, $\varepsilon > 0$ will denote a small fixed constant. We use the standard notations for the Fourier transform and its inverse:

$$\hat{f}(\beta) = \mathcal{F} f(\beta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) e(-\beta n),$$

$$\check{g}(n) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} g(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\beta) e(\beta n)\, d\beta.$$

3. Decomposition of the multiplier

The Fourier multiplier associated to the transformation H^α is

$$M^\alpha(\beta) := \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{e(\alpha m^2 - \beta m)}{m}. \tag{3.1}$$

The goal of this section is to describe a decomposition of the multiplier M^α into terms, with uniform control in the variable α. Let $\{\psi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be a dyadic resolution of the function $\frac{1}{t}$, with $\psi_j(t) = 2^{-j} \psi(2^{-j} t)$, and ψ is a odd smooth function satisfying $\psi(t) \leq \mathbb{1}_{[1/4,1]}(|t|)$. Then, for $|t| \geq 1$, we have $\frac{1}{t} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_j(t)$, and in the support of ψ_j, we have $2^{j-2} \leq |t| \leq 2^j$. Using this, we can decompose the multiplier as a sum of terms of the form

$$M^\alpha_j(\beta) := \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{e(\alpha m^2 - \beta m)}{m} \psi_j(m).$$

That way, we can write $M^\alpha = \sum_j M^\alpha_j$.

For fixed $s \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{R}_s := \left\{ \left(\tfrac{A}{Q}, \tfrac{B}{Q} \right) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : A, B, Q \in \mathbb{Z},\ (A, Q) = (B, Q) = 1,\ 2^{s-1} \leq Q \leq 2^s \right\}.$$

Then, the rationals in the torus, can be written as $\bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}_s$. Given $(\frac{A}{Q}, \frac{B}{Q}) \in \mathcal{R}_s$, and $j \geq s/\varepsilon$, define the j-th major arc at $(\frac{A}{Q}, \frac{B}{Q})$ by

$$\mathfrak{M}_j(A/Q, B/Q) := \left\{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : |\alpha - A/Q| \leq 2^{(\epsilon-2)j}, |\beta - B/Q| \leq 2^{(\epsilon-1)j}\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Collect the major arcs

$$\mathfrak{M}_j := \bigcup_{\substack{(A,Q)=(B,Q)=1\\ 0<Q\leq 2^{6\varepsilon j}}} \mathfrak{M}_j(A/Q, B/Q). \tag{3.3}$$

As proven in [12], the union above is over disjoint sets for ε small enough. For each j, we define the minor arcs to be the complement of this union of the major arcs.

Let χ be a smooth even bump function, such that $\mathbb{1}_{[-1/10,1/10]} \leq \chi \leq \mathbb{1}_{[-1/5,1/5]}$. For $s, j \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\chi_s(t) := \chi(10^s t)$, and define the multiplier

$$L^{\alpha}_{j,s}(\beta) := \sum_{(\frac{A}{Q},\frac{B}{Q})\in\mathcal{R}_s} S(A/Q, B/Q)U_j(\alpha - A/Q, \beta - B/Q)\chi_s(\alpha - A/Q)\chi_s(\beta - B/Q). \tag{3.4}$$

Here, U_j is a continuous analogue of the multiplier M_j,

$$U_j(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e(xt^2 - yt)\psi_j(t)\, dt, \tag{3.5}$$

and S is the complete Gauss sum

$$S(A/Q, B/Q) := \frac{1}{Q}\sum_{r=0}^{Q-1} e(A/Q \cdot r^2 - B/Q \cdot r). \tag{3.6}$$

Consider also the following definitions.

$$L^{\alpha}_j(\beta) := \sum_{s\leq\varepsilon j} L^{\alpha}_{j,s}(\beta), \quad j \geq 1, \tag{3.7}$$

$$L^{\alpha,s}(\beta) := \sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon} L^{\alpha}_{j,s}(\beta), \quad s \geq 1, \tag{3.8}$$

$$L^{\alpha}(\beta) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L^{\alpha}_j(\beta) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} L^{\alpha,s}(\beta), \tag{3.9}$$

$$E^{\alpha}_j(\beta) := M^{\alpha}_j(\beta) - L^{\alpha}_j(\beta), \quad j \geq 1, \tag{3.10}$$

$$E^{\alpha}(\beta) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E^{\alpha}_j(\beta). \tag{3.11}$$

The proof of the following lemmas can be found in [12]. The first one says that on the major arcs, M_j is well approximated by its continuous analogue.

Lemma 3.12. *For $1 \leq s \leq \varepsilon j$, $(A/Q, B/Q) \in \mathcal{R}_s$, and $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_j(A/Q, B/Q)$, we have the approximation*

$$M^{\alpha}_j(\beta) = S(A/Q, B/Q)U_j(\alpha - A/Q, \beta - B/Q) + O(2^{(3\varepsilon-1)j}).$$

In the minor arcs we have the following estimates.

Lemma 3.13. *There exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that uniformly in $j \geq 1$,*

$$|M_j^\alpha(\beta)| + |L_j^\alpha(\beta))| \lesssim 2^{-\delta j}, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \notin \mathfrak{M}_j(A/Q, B/Q)$$

Using these results, we obtain the following bounds.

Theorem 3.14. *There is a choice of $\delta > 0$ such that, uniformly in $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$*

$$|S(A/Q, B/Q)| \lesssim 2^{-\delta s}, \quad (A/Q, B/Q) \in \mathcal{R}_s, \quad s \geq 1, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\|E_j^\alpha(\beta)\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-\delta j}, \quad j \geq 1, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} E_j^\alpha(\beta) \right\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{2j}, \quad j \geq 1. \tag{3.17}$$

The first estimate can be found in several places in the literature (see, for example, [9]). Given that by construction $M_j^\alpha(\beta) = L_j^\alpha(\beta) + E_j^\alpha(\beta)$, the second estimate is a consequence of the previous two lemmas. The derivative estimate comes from straightforward computations.

We prove the main Theorem by showing that there is a choice of $1 < r < 2$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for $j, s \geq 1$ the following estimates hold, uniformly in $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\|T_{\check{E}_j^\alpha} : (r, r)\| \lesssim 2^{-\eta j} \qquad \text{(Minor arcs estimate)} \tag{3.18}$$

$$\|T_{\check{L}^{\alpha,s}} : (r, r)\| \lesssim 2^{-\eta s} \qquad \text{(Major arcs estimate)} \tag{3.19}$$

Since our operator can be written as $H^\alpha = \sum_{j \geq 1} T_{\check{E}_j^\alpha} + \sum_{s \geq 1} T_{\check{L}^{\alpha,s}}$, from the triangle inequality for the sparse norm it follows that

$$\|H^\alpha : (r, r)\| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \|T_{\check{E}_j^\alpha} : (r, r)\| + \sum_{s \geq 1} \|T_{\check{L}^{\alpha,s}} : (r, r)\| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-\eta j} + \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-\eta s} < \infty.$$

Since these estimates are independent of α, the main theorem follows.

4. Minor Arcs estimate

Consider the multiplier E_j^α, defined in (3.10). The L^∞ estimate (3.16) and the derivative estimate (3.17) imply that

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1} E_j^\alpha(m)| \lesssim \min\left\{ 2^{-\varepsilon j}, \frac{2^{2j}}{1 + m^2} \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

These bounds are independent of α, since the derivative estimates are. Write $\mathcal{F}^{-1} E_j^\alpha = \check{E}_{j,1}^\alpha + \check{E}_{j,2}^\alpha$, where $\check{E}_{j,1}^\alpha(m) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} E_j^\alpha(m) \mathbb{1}_{[-2^{3j}, 2^{3j}]}(m)$. We first estimate for $\check{E}_{j,2}$, for this, consider the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function defined in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\check{E}_{j,2}^\alpha} f(x)| = |\check{E}_{j,2}^\alpha * f(x)| &\leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} |K_2(y) f(x - y)| \lesssim 2^{2j} \sum_{|y| \geq 2^{3j}} \frac{|f(x-y)|}{1 + |y|^2} \\ &= 2^{2j} \sum_{|k| \geq 3j} \sum_{2^k \leq |y| < 2^{k+1}} \frac{|f(x-y)|}{1 + |y|^2} \lesssim 2^{2j} \sum_{|k| \geq 2^{3j}} 2^{-k} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{HL}} f(x) = 2^{-j} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{HL}} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Once again, this estimate is independent of α. Using Theorem 2.B we obtain the result for $\check{E}_{j,2}^\alpha$.

For $\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}$, we need to use the following result (Proposition 2.4 in [8]).

Proposition 4.2. *Let $T_K f(x) = \sum_n K(n) f(x-n)$ be convolution with kernel K. Assuming that K is finitely supported on the interval $[-N, N]$ we have the inequalities*

$$\|T_K : (r,s)\| \lesssim N^{1/r+1/s-1} \|T_K : \ell^r \mapsto \ell^{s'}\|, \qquad 1 \le r, s < \infty.$$

To proof the sparse bound for $T_{\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}}$, we use the proposition with $N = 2^{3j}$ and $r = s$, that is

$$\|T_{\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}} : (r,r)\| \lesssim 2^{3j(\frac{2}{r}-1)} \|T_{\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}} : \ell^r \mapsto \ell^{r'}\|, \qquad 1 \le r < \infty.$$

We just need to find an r such that the operator norm has a summable decay in j. It is easy to check for the cases $r = 1$ and $r = 2$. For $r = 1$, we have by Young's inequality and (4.1)

$$\left\| T_{\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}} f \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \|\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}\|_{\infty} \|f\|_1 \lesssim 2^{-\delta j} \|f\|_1$$

And for $r = 2$, we have by the L^{∞} estimate of the multiplier (3.16), and Plancherel,

$$\left\| T_{\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,1}} : \ell^2 \mapsto \ell^2 \right\| \lesssim 2^{-\delta j}.$$

We can now interpolate and choose $1 < r < 2$ such that $10(2/r - 1) < \delta/2$ to get the desired decay. Combining this with the estimate over the norm of $T_{\check{E}^{\alpha}_{j,2}}$ the proof of (3.18) is complete.

5. Major Arcs estimate

We proceed now to prove the more complicated estimate (3.19). Recall the definition of U_j, given by (3.5). For $s \ge 0$, define U^s to be

$$U^s(x,y) = \sum_{j \ge s/\varepsilon} U_j(x,y).$$

Then, we can write the multiplier $L^{\alpha,s}$ defined in (3.8) as

$$L^{\alpha,s}(\beta) = \sum_{(\frac{A}{Q},\frac{B}{Q}) \in \mathcal{R}_s} S(A/Q, B/Q) U^s(\alpha - A/Q, \beta - B/Q) \chi_s(\alpha - A/Q) \chi_s(\beta - B/Q).$$

Given that the support of χ_s is contained in $\left[-2 \cdot 10^{-s-1}, 2 \cdot 10^{-s-1}\right]$, for fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, there is at most one rational $\alpha_s = A/Q$ with $(A,Q) = 1, 2^{s-1} \le Q \le 2^s$ for which $\chi_s(\alpha - A/Q)$ is non zero. To simplify the notation, we make use of the following definition

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}_s = \{\, B/Q \in \mathbb{T} : (A/Q, B/Q) \in \mathcal{R}_s, A/Q = \alpha_s \,\}.$$

It is important to say that the subsequent analysis only depends upon the cardinality of $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}_s$, which is at most 2^{2s}, and not the value of α. We can rewrite $L^{\alpha,s}$ as

$$L^{\alpha,s}(\beta) = \sum_{\frac{B}{Q} \in \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}_s} S(\alpha_s, B/Q) U^s(\alpha - \alpha_s, \beta - B/Q) \chi_s(\alpha - \alpha_s) \chi_s(\beta - B/Q).$$

As in [8], we will make use of a sparse bound for Hilbert space valued singular integrals. For this, define for fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ the finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_s = \ell^2(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}_s)$. Given $f \in \ell^2$, if $\mathrm{Mod}_h f(x) = e(hx) f(x)$ represents the standard modulation by h, set $f_{s,h} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_s^{1/2}) * \mathrm{Mod}_{-h} f$. Define the $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_s$-valued function f^{α}_s by

$$f^{\alpha}_s := \{\, f_{s,B/Q} : B/Q \in \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}_s \,\}.$$

Note that the Fourier transforms $\hat{f}_{s,B/Q}(\beta) = \chi_s^{1/2}(\beta)\hat{f}(\beta + B/Q)$ have disjoint supports, so by Bessel's Theorem $\|f_s^\alpha\|_{\ell^2(\mathcal{H}_s)} \leq \|f\|_{\ell^2}$. We have the following simplifications,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{\check{L}^{\alpha,s}} f, g\rangle &= \sum_{\frac{B}{Q}\in\mathcal{R}_s^\alpha} \sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon} S(\alpha_s, \tfrac{B}{Q}) \left\langle U_j(\alpha-\alpha_s, \cdot - \tfrac{B}{Q})\chi_s(\alpha-\alpha_s)\chi_s(\cdot - \tfrac{B}{Q})\hat{f}(\cdot), \hat{g}(\cdot)\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\frac{B}{Q}\in\mathcal{R}_s^\alpha} \sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon} S(\alpha_s, \tfrac{B}{Q}) \left\langle U_j(\alpha-\alpha_s, \cdot)\chi_s(\alpha-\alpha_s)\chi_s^{1/2}(\cdot)\hat{f}(\cdot + \tfrac{B}{Q}), \chi_s^{1/2}(\cdot)\hat{g}(\cdot + \tfrac{B}{Q})\right\rangle \\
&= \chi_s(\alpha-\alpha_s) \sum_{\frac{B}{Q}\in\mathcal{R}_s^\alpha} \sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon} S(\alpha_s, \tfrac{B}{Q}) \left\langle U_j(\alpha-\alpha_s, \cdot)\hat{f}_{s,B/Q}, \hat{g}_{s,B/Q}\right\rangle \\
&= \chi_s(\alpha-\alpha_s) \sum_{\frac{B}{Q}\in\mathcal{R}_s^\alpha} S(\alpha_s, \tfrac{B}{Q}) \left\langle T_{\check{U}^s} f_{s,B/Q}, g_{s,B/Q}\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

For $B/Q \in \mathcal{R}_s^\alpha$, take $\lambda_{B/Q}$ with unit norm and such that $\lambda_{B/Q}\left\langle T_{\check{U}^s} f_{s,B/Q}, f_{s,B/Q}\right\rangle \geq 0$, and set $\tilde{f}_s^\alpha = \left\{ \lambda_{B/Q} f_{s,B/Q} : B/Q \in \mathcal{R}_s^\alpha \right\}$, then, using the Gauss sum estimate (3.15) and summing over $j \geq s/\varepsilon$ we have

$$|\left\langle T_{\check{L}^{\alpha,s}} f, g\right\rangle| \lesssim 2^{-\delta s} \left\langle T_{\check{U}^s} \tilde{f}_s^\alpha, g_s^\alpha\right\rangle.$$

Since $\|f_s^\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_s^\alpha} = \|\tilde{f}_s^\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_s^\alpha}$, then we can replace $\tilde{f}_s^\alpha$ by f_s^α in the inner product. The next step is to find a sparse form Λ_1 (on Hilbert space valued functions) that dominates the last inner product. For this, first we write

$$U^s(\alpha-\alpha_s, \beta) = \sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e((\alpha-\alpha_s)t^2) e(-\beta t)\psi_j(t)\, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e((\alpha-\alpha_s)t^2 - \beta t) \sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon} \psi_j(t)\, dt$$

The integrand above is supported on $|t| \geq 2^{\lfloor s/\varepsilon\rfloor - 2}$ and by explicit computation $\sum_{j\geq s/\varepsilon}\psi_j(t)$ coincides with $\frac{1}{t}$ for $|t| \geq 2^{\lfloor s/\varepsilon\rfloor}$. Therefore, this kernel corresponds to a Calderón-Zygmund kernel, and we can apply Theorem 2.C. As a consequence, for any $1 < r_1 < 2$ there is a sparse bilinear form Λ_{r_1} such that

$$|\left\langle T_{\check{U}^s} f_s, g_s\right\rangle| \lesssim \Lambda_{r_1}(f_s^\alpha, g_s^\alpha). \tag{5.1}$$

The implied constant above does not depend on α.

To end the proof, we need the following result

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 \leq r_1 < 2$ and $\delta > 0$. Let Λ_{r_1} be a sparse form over a collection of intervals all of which have length larger than 10^s. Then there exists r satisfying $r_1 < r < 2$ such that for all f, g there is a sparse form Λ_r for which*

$$\Lambda_{r_1}(f_s^\alpha, g_s^\alpha) \lesssim 2^{\delta s/4}\Lambda_r(f, g).$$

The proof of this lemma is a slight modification of the proof of the $r_1 = 1$ result given at the end of [8] (the value of α doesn't affect the proof). Ensuring all the sparse intervals in Λ_{r_1} have length at least 10^s is achieved by taking $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. Combining the estimates, and letting $\eta = 3\delta/4$, we have

$$|\left\langle T_{\check{L}^{\alpha,s}} f, g\right\rangle| \lesssim 2^{-\eta s}\Lambda_r(f, g).$$

Which proves the major arcs estimate, and therefore, the main theorem.

References

[1] G. I. Arkhipov and K. I. Oskolkov, *A special trigonometric series and its applications*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **134(176)** (1987), no. 2, 147–157, 287 (Russian); English transl., Math. USSR-Sb. **62** (1989), no. 1, 145–155. MR922412

[2] C. Benea, F. Bernicot, and T. Luque, *Sparse bilinear forms for Bochner Riesz multipliers and applications*, ArXiv e-prints (May 2016), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.06401.

[3] F. Bernicot, D. Frey, and S. Petermichl, *Sharp weighted norm estimates beyond Calder\'on-Zygmund theory*, ArXiv e-prints (October 2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.00973.

[4] J. Bourgain, *On the maximal ergodic theorem for certain subsets of the integers*, Israel J. Math. **61** (1988), no. 1, 39–72. MR937581 (89f:28037a)

[5] ———, *On the pointwise ergodic theorem on L^p for arithmetic sets*, Israel J. Math. **61** (1988), no. 1, 73–84. MR937582 (89f:28037b)

[6] J. M. Conde-Alonso and G. Rey, *A pointwise estimate for positive dyadic shifts and some applications*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), no. 3-4, 1111–1135. MR3521084

[7] A. Culiuc, F. Di Plinio, and Y. Ou, *Domination of multilinear singular integrals by positive sparse forms*, ArXiv e-prints (March 2016), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05317.

[8] A. Culiuc, R. Kesler, and M. T. Lacey, *Sparse Bounds for the discrete cubic Hilbert transform*, ArXiv e-prints (December 2016), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.08881.

[9] L. K. Hua, *Introduction to number theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. Translated from the Chinese by Peter Shiu. MR665428 (83f:10001)

[10] T. P. Hytönen, L. Roncal, and O. Tapiola, *Quantitative weighted estimates for rough homogeneous singular integrals*, ArXiv e-prints (October 2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.05789.

[11] B. Krause, *Polynomial Ergodic Averages Converge Rapidly: Variations on a Theorem of Bourgain* (February 2014), available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.1803.

[12] B. Krause and M. T. Lacey, *A Discrete Quadratic Carleson Theorem on ℓ^2 with a Restricted Supremum*, IMRN, to appear (December 2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06918.

[13] ———, *Sparse Bounds for Random Discrete Carleson Theorems*, ArXiv e-prints (September 2016), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08701.

[14] M. T. Lacey, *An elementary proof of the A_2 Bound*, Israel Math. J., to appear (January 2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.05818.

[15] M. T. Lacey and D. Mena, *The Sparse T1 Theorem*, Houston Journal of Mathematics, to appear (October 2016), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01531.

[16] M. T. Lacey and S. Spencer, *Sparse Bounds for Oscillatory and Random Singular Integrals*, New York Journal of Mathematics, to appear (September 2016), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06364.

[17] A. K. Lerner, *A simple proof of the A_2 conjecture*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **14** (2013), 3159–3170. MR3085756

[18] ———, *On pointwise estimates involving sparse operators*, New York J. Math. **22** (2016), 341–349. MR3484688

[19] M. Mirek, E. M. Stein, and B. Trojan, *$L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$-estimates for discrete operators of Radon type: Maximal functions and vector-valued estimates* (December 2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07518.

[20] ———, *$L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$-estimates for discrete operators of Radon type: Variational estimates* (December 2015), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07523.

[21] L. B. Pierce, *A note on twisted discrete singular Radon transforms*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 4, 701–720, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2010.v17.n4.a10. MR2661174

[22] ———, *"Discrete fractional Radon transforms and quadratic forms," Duke Math. J. 161 (2012), 69–106 [MR2872554]*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 6, 1203–1204, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2210146. MR3053569

[23] E. M. Stein, *Oscillatory integrals related to Radon-like transforms*, Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993), 1995, pp. 535–551. MR1364908